

Dr Ildiko Cseri
Farnham House
Six Hills Way
Stevenage
SG1 2FQ

06 July 2026

Dear Ildiko,

Thank you for submitting the Domestic Homicide Review (DHR) report (Arthur) for Welwyn & Hatfield Community Safety Partnership (CSP) to the Home Office Quality Assurance (QA) Board. The report was considered at the QA Board meeting on 20th of May 2026. I apologise for the delay in responding to you.

Please find the QA Board's feedback in the form below. On completion of the changes suggested the DHR may be published.

Once completed the Home Office would be grateful if you could provide us with a digital copy of the revised final version of the report with all finalised attachments and appendices and the weblink to the site where the report will be published. Please ensure this letter and the feedback form is published alongside the report.

Please send the digital copy and weblink to DHREnquiries@homeoffice.gov.uk. This is for our own records for future analysis to go towards highlighting best practice and to inform public policy.

The DHR report including the executive summary and action plan should be converted to a PDF document and be smaller than 20 MB in size; this final Home Office QA Board letter and feedback form should be attached to the end of the report as an annex; and the DHR Action Plan should be added to the report as an annex. This should include all implementation updates and note that the action plan is a live document and subject to change as outcomes are delivered.

Please also send a digital copy to the Domestic Abuse Commissioner at DHR@domesticabusecommissioner.independent.gov.uk

On behalf of the QA Board, I would like to thank you, the report chair and author, and other colleagues for the considerable work that you have put into this review.

Yours sincerely,

Home Office DHR Quality Assurance Board

DHR QA Board Feedback for the Community Safety Partnership

TITLE OF DHR	Arthur
COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP	Welwyn and Hatfield
DATE REVIEWED BY QA BOARD	20 th May 2026
DECISION	Publish with amendments (noting comments below)
GOOD PRACTICE COMMENDED	• It is positive to see that the DHR was conducted regardless of the outcome of the criminal investigation in order to identify learning. • There is evidence of good family engagement. • There is a good explanation of the delays within the process. • It is helpful to see neighbours included on the Terms of References as a source of information. • It is good practice that the GP was engaged with through the DHR and was involved in the panel. • There is evidence of good engagement with experts within this DHR.
FEEDBACK FOR FUTURE DHRs	

	DHR SECTION	DHR QA BOARD FEEDBACK (improvements required before publication)
1	Title Page	No amendments required.
2	Contents Page	No amendments required.
3	Pen Portrait	No amendments required.
4	Condolences	No amendments required.
5	Confidentiality and Anonymity	Using pseudonyms for other individuals in the review rather than X, Y, Z would be beneficial. Please consider amending.
6	Terms of Reference	No amendments required.

7	Equality and Diversity	No amendments required.
8	Background Information	No amendments required.
9	Combined Chronology	No amendments required.
10	Overview	No amendments required.
11	Analysis	No amendments required.
12	Conclusions	No amendments required.
13	Lessons learnt and recommendations	No amendments required.
14	Timescales	No amendments required.
15	Involvement of family / friends / community	No amendments required.
16	DHR contributors	No amendments required.
17	DHR Panel	No amendments required.
18	DHR Author	No amendments required.
19	Parallel Reviews	No amendments required.
20	Dissemination	Please include the Domestic Abuse Commissioner in the dissemination list.
21	Action Plan	Please ensure that the action plan is updated prior to publication.
22	Has there been a request to withhold publication?	There are no requests to restrict publication. However, the report states 'after this Overview Report has been through the Home Office Quality Assurance process, a decision on whether to publish it will be made by the Strategic Partnerships Team. If it is to be published, the Report and appendices will firstly be fully redacted. Only where necessary and for safeguarding

	<i>If Yes, include the reason for the request. Is it proportionate and appropriate?</i>	reasons or wishes of the families involved in the review will the Report not be published'. Requests to restrict publication should be made as part of the QA process. If the CSP decide to restrict publication, please inform the Home Office of this.
23	Any other comments	• Please amend Home Office QA Panel to Board. • Appendix A - Protection from Harassment Act 2002 – please clarify if this should read 1997.